UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,910	07/25/2003	James E. Staargaard	GVC.00001US	3459
23399 REISING ETHI	7590 07/21/200 INGTON P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 4390		NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L		
TROY, MI 48099-4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,910	STAARGAARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •	VIO OET TO EVENE A MONTH	0) OD TUBETY (00) BAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	une 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24,26 and 29-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24,26 and 29-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/627,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Reopening of Prosecution

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on June 8, 2009, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY

REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following

two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37

CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an

appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee

can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have

been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between

the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing

below:

/David R. Sample/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Art Unit: 1794

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 22, 24 and 29 - 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cooper (USPN 6,644,722).

Cooper discloses an integral plastic and metal part (Figures) comprising: a metal component having a closed cross section defining an interior and exterior (Figures 1 and 2, #12; Column 3, lines 13 – 37) and having a first opening defining edges and a second opening opposite said first opening (Figure 4, #60 and 63) for allowing a core tool to be inserted into said interior of said metal component during a molding operation (Column 7, lines 24 - 32); and a plastic component disposed about at least a portion of said exterior of said metal component (Figure 4, #15), a portion of said plastic material disposed through said first opening and including a flange extending beyond said edges of said first opening and into said interior of said metal component for securing said plastic component to said metal component (Figure 4, #15 and 66), said plastic component not covering said second opening (Figure 4, #60); wherein said plastic component includes at least one integrally formed connection member extending outwardly therefrom, said at least one connection member having an area defining at least one connection portion formed therein, wherein said at least one connection portion is operable to receive a fastening member so as to permit said plastic component to be fastened to at least one other component (Column 6, lines 20 - 27) as in claim 22. Regarding claim 23, the flange is rectangular (Figure 4, #66). For claim 29, said edge of said first opening is curved (Figure 4, #54). With regard to claim 30, said flange extends beyond said curved edge of said first opening (Figure 4, #66). As in claim 31, said second opening is defined by a second edge in said metal

Art Unit: 1794

component, said second edge being folded (Figures, wherein the metal edges can have multiple shapes as shown). Regarding claim 32, said plastic part includes attachment holes therethrough (Column 6, lines 20 - 27). As in claim 33, said first opening comprises a series of openings (Column 7, lines 34 - 36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper (USPN 6,644,722) in view of Palmer et al. (USPN 6,708,583).

Cooper discloses an integral plastic and metal part (Figures) comprising: a metal component having a closed cross section defining an interior and exterior (Figures 1 and 2, #12; Column 3, lines 13 – 37) and having a first opening defining edges and a second opening opposite said first opening (Figure 4, #60 and 63) for allowing a core tool to be inserted into said interior of said metal component during a molding operation (Column 7, lines 24 – 32); and a plastic component disposed about at least a portion of said exterior of said metal component (Figure 4, #15), a portion of said plastic material disposed through said first opening and including a flange extending beyond said edges of said first opening and into said interior of said metal component for securing said plastic component to said metal component (Figure 4, #15)

and 66), said plastic component not covering said second opening (Figure 4, #60); wherein said plastic component includes at least one integrally formed connection member extending outwardly therefrom, said at least one connection member having an area defining at least one connection portion formed therein, wherein said at least one connection portion is operable to receive a fastening member so as to permit said plastic component to be fastened to at least one other component (Column 6, lines 20 - 27). However, Cooper fails to disclose said plastic part includes ribs.

Palmer et al. teaches a shaft support made of plastic containing ribs (Abstract) for the purpose of providing reinforcement to the shell of the structure (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided plastic ribs in Cooper in order to provide reinforcement to the shell of the structure as taught by Palmer et al.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, filed June 8, 2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 22, 24, 26 and 29 - 33 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cooper and Palmer et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571)272-

1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David R. Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794

/Patricia L. Nordmeyer/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794